

CONQUEST - EXIT QUESTIONNAIRE SUMMARY
(42 HANDED IN)

1. Where would you like to see acreage development within the region?
 - i. (26) - Adjacent to existing villages and towns
 - ii. (9) - Along the riverbanks (the land along riverbank should be available for public use ie. parks, trails, etc.)
 - iii. (9) - Adjacent to a provincial highway
 - iv. (14) - Anywhere physically possible
 - v. On marginal land only

2. Do you think small acreage development or recreational housing is important in rebuilding the population of the region?

Yes 42 – 100%

3. What kind of economic opportunities could be promoted in the region?
 - a. Industrial: 5 people indicated that they wanted to see industrial development, but didn't name specifics.
 - Parts Dealership (2)
 - Manufacturing (3)
 - Houses (2)
 - Pelleting Plant
 - Ecological (wind & solar Power Generation; Bio-fuel Plants) (5)
 - Machine Shops
 - Greenhouses
 - Agricultural
 - b. Commercial: 4 people indicated that they wanted to see commercial development, but didn't name specifics.
 - Irrigation on land that is feasible (3)
 - Small industry (grain) (2)
 - Intensive Livestock Operations (3)
 - Food Processing (such as potato chip plant) (7)
 - Meat Processing Plant
 - More vegetable farms/orchards, market gardens (3)
 - More accommodations needed (motels, B&B's, convention centre in Outlook) (4)
 - More Retail Stores (dress shops)
 - End-product manufacturing
 - Restaurant
 - Outfitting
 - Fish Farms
 - c. Recreational: 8 people indicated that they wanted to see recreational development, but didn't name specifics.
 - Finish Reservoir on west side
 - Acreages at River's edge
 - Expand existing parks & create new ones for camping (6)

- Create campsites by the boat launch area at the Pavillion
 - Swimming Pool by Lake Diefenbaker
 - More Trails, expand TCT (6)
 - promote walking tours along river where large elms grow
 - Viewing Wildlife
 - Lake Development
 - Use the River & Bridges more (ski-doo, ATV, canoe, horseback) (2)
 - Public Recreation Areas along the river
 - Create weir north of Outlook to provide boating in the river
 - Tennis Courts
 - Rodeo
 - Promote 4-season tourism
4. Which of the following development scenarios best represents your vision for development of the river / lake valley? (Circle ALL that apply)
- a. 5 - These areas should be left alone and remain relatively undeveloped
 - b. 28 - These areas should be developed to provide for public recreational opportunities where appropriate (develop these places back from the river valley)
 - c. 26 - These areas should be opened up for residential development where appropriate
 - d. Other: _____
5. What do you view as the most valuable built feature in our region?
- Gardiner Dam (14)
 - Lake Diefenbaker (9)
 - SkyTrail (7)
 - New RM Office (2)
 - Farming (2)
 - Conquest United Church (3)
 - Elevators (3)
 - SSRID
 - Outlook Health Care Centre
6. What is the most valuable natural feature in our region?
- South Saskatchewan River (21)
 - South Saskatchewan River Valley (5)
 - Farm Land / Prairie (3)
 - Shelterbelt (2)
7. Would you like to see designation of special areas within your region for
- a. Intensive Livestock Development: Yes (18) No (17)
 - b. Country Residential Housing: Yes (33) No (2)
 - c. Industrial / Commercial Development: Yes (31) No (2)
8. How far back from Lake Diefenbaker and the South Saskatchewan River should Intensive Livestock Operations be located? (Circle your answer)

- a. 1 mile (1)
- b. 2 miles (3)
- c. 3 miles (12)
- d. Other: (6)
 - 5 miles (2)
 - 10 miles (4)
 - >10 miles (2)
 - 20 miles
 - As far away as possible (5)
 - More than 3 miles
 - Whatever is environmentally safe (2)

9. What kind of development don't you want to see in your municipality?

- Intensive Livestock Operations (6)
- Nuclear Development (5)
- Hutterite Colony
- Unsustainable Development on a large scale
- Homes too close to each other
- Livestock too close to the water
- Anything that is polluting to the senses
- Casino

THE FOLLOWING ARE DEVELOPMENTS I BELIEVE PEOPLE WANT TO SEE IN THE AREA:

- Lake Front Development
Irrigation (2)
- Any Development
- Smaller specialized farms to irrigate
- Large inland terminal to facilitate the north/south movement of grain as opposed to east/west
- Anything that creates jobs
- Development of the west side irrigation canal

10. Do you like this method of public participation?

- a. Yes (35) No (2)
- b. What could we have done better?
 - A job well done! Thank you! (3)
 - Listings of community forums as well
 - When a larger group is present maybe a verbal presentation along with your research work

Thank you for your participation in this Open House process. We realize that there are topics of discussion not included in this questionnaire that you may want to identify; please feel free to provide any additional information below:

- Pipeline crossing the river: there should be better regulations. Old lines are not very deep, how much damage is shifting sand doing to these lines? Should future lines be above the river? What is in place in case of a rupture, etc?

- Would have like to have been prepared for these questions in advance (not knowing WaterWolf would be here in Conquest)
- Nuclear is the elephant in the room. How can we ever talk about tourism or watershed issues without addressing something that can poison the whole area. We are ALL downstream here.