

LOREBURN - EXIT QUESTIONNAIRE SUMMARY
(25 HANDED IN)

1. Where would you like to see acreage development within the region?
 - i. (15) - Adjacent to existing villages and towns
 - ii. (7) - Along the riverbanks
 - iii. (6) - Adjacent to a provincial highway
 - iv. (11) - Anywhere physically possible (with careful planning & foresight) (outside of urbans)

2. Do you think small acreage development or recreational housing is important in rebuilding the population of the region?
Yes (22) No (3)

3. What kind of economic opportunities could be promoted in the region?
 - a. Industrial: (2) people indicated that they wanted to see industrial development, but didn't name specifics.
 - Wind Farm (7)
 - Nuclear Power Plant at Lake Diefenbaker (6)
 - Small manufacturing & assembly (like Comutron) (3)
 - High Tech
 - Recycling
 - "Green" Opportunities, I think we should follow the lead of Craik
 - Value-added processing (2)
 - Power
 - Oil Refinery
 - b. Commercial: (2) people indicated that they wanted to see commercial development, but didn't name specifics.
 - Trucking Business
 - Tourism Outfitters & Facilities (2)
 - Adventure Park (zip-line over the coulee, waterslide down to the lake, wind or kite surfing) (2)
 - As much as possible
 - Better highways
 - More retail outlets & restaurants (2)
 - Spa Resort (2)
 - Real estate development; which would lead to commercial ventures
 - Fixed-roof accommodation
 - Service Station
 - Sport & Leisure Markets
 - Commercial Business
 - c. Recreational: (3) people indicated that they wanted to see recreational development, but didn't name specifics.
 - More work on the shoreline
 - Enforcing the rules
 - Eco-tourism & adventure-tourism (2)

- Vacation Farm
 - Open up Lake Diefenbaker to Resort Villages and lakefront cabins (6)
 - Marina Expansion & boat launches (4)
 - Provincial Park expansion (2)
 - Theatre & Music
 - Attention needs to be paid to a wider range of recreational opportunities (2)
 - Minimize the restricted development area due to erosion concerns
 - Tourist services
 - Beach areas
 - Birding
 - More winter use of lake by expanding the season
4. Which of the following development scenarios best represents your vision for development of the river / lake valley? (Circle ALL that apply)
- a. (5) - These areas should be left alone and remain relatively undeveloped
 - b. (18) - These areas should be developed to provide for public recreational opportunities where appropriate
 - c. (17) - These areas should be opened up for residential development where appropriate (limited)
 - d. Other:
 - “Development” is a 2-edged sword. You may destroy what you are marketing.
 - Change is good.
 - All of these options should be considered as part of the whole, development is important, as is nature (unspoiled property)
 - Where Appropriate
 - Minimal impact on area
5. What do you view as the most valuable built feature in our region?
- Gardiner Dam (8)
 - Provincial Parks
 - Marina @ Elbow (3)
 - Harbour Golf Course (2)
 - Lake Diefenbaker (9)
 - Gardiner Dam Terminal
 - Tourism
 - SkyTrail
6. What is the most valuable natural feature in our region?
- Sand Hills
 - South Saskatchewan River & Lake Diefenbaker (17)
 - Open Space
 - Parks
 - Valleys

7. Would you like to see designation of special areas within your region for
- | | | |
|---|----------|--------|
| a. Intensive Livestock Development: | Yes (20) | No (3) |
| b. Country Residential Housing: | Yes (22) | No (1) |
| c. Industrial / Commercial Development: | Yes (22) | No (1) |
- If it is going to be built, it must be controlled
 - Must be zoned
8. How far back from Lake Diefenbaker and the South Saskatchewan River should Intensive Livestock Operations be located? (Circle your answer)
- a. 1 mile (2) (1-no access to the lake for watering)
 - b. 2 miles (3)
 - c. 3 miles (6) (“at least”)
 - d. Other:
 - Far enough that it does not “percolate” through the sandy soil nor drain through the coulee into the lake.
 - 5 miles (4)
 - 10 miles & isolated from neighbors (“at least”) (4)
 - At least 5 miles; livestock should no longer be allowed to stand in/secrete waste into the lake/river system.
 - 20 miles away, not on water
 - Far enough to avoid drainage
 - Minimum of 5 miles if hydrology is suitable
9. What kind of development don't you want to see in your municipality?
- Nuclear Power Plant (2)
 - Hog Barns
 - Hutterite Colonies
 - Casino (2)
 - No development of any kind which would add to the existing pollutants
 - Nuclear Power generation, there is much more potential here in recreational development and nuclear would impact negatively on this.
 - Too many ILO's
 - Acreages, they are too hard to manage in an urban.
 - Minimal cabin-type development.
 - Anything within 2 miles of the lakeshore should be a natural area.

THE FOLLOWING ARE DEVELOPMENTS I BELIEVE PEOPLE WANT TO SEE IN THE AREA:

- Airport
 - We need jobs to attract young people
10. Do you like this method of public participation?
- a. Yes (20) No (1)
 - b. What could we have done better?
 - More organization and question & answer where each of us could learn from others.

- I can't think of anything that could have been done better
- Perhaps a more focused PR/marketing plan involving "greening"
- It was welcoming. Good info.
- A much greater personal dialogue between proponents and councils. It is essential that councils have a sense of ownership.
- More information. Less catch-phrases.
- Frequent issues discussed pro/con

Thank you for your participation in this Open House process. We realize that there are topics of discussion not included in this questionnaire that you may want to identify; please feel free to provide any additional information below:

- I'm concerned about the development of crown land along the lake. In Alberta, only millionaires have access to shoreline property....we do NOT want to go that route! Please let's restrict building, maintain public access and protect as much of the native prairie as possible. It is only a little strip along the water. But it belongs to all of us....and our grandchildren.
- We need business and industry. We need a nuclear plant.
- More information on Nuclear Plant.
- I cannot stress enough the need to focus on "greening". Although having spoken to Russ McPherson, I am not assured that "greening" is part of the priority.
- Investigate other locations for nuclear power ie. Churchill River and west side of province where extra high voltage line could service both Northern Resource Development eg. Oil sands in SK and AB as well as southern industrial regions.
- "Where appropriate"
- Get the cattle away from the lake. Sask Water and Sask Power make a lot of money from this lake they should be cost sharing ways to preserve water erosion around the lake.
- Definitely need to increase the population of the area to gain any expansion of area.
- There is insufficient grass roots participation in the concept. Municipalities will be forced with making a decision whether or not to participate without the opportunity to discuss and accept its concept with conviction. It is likely to be seen as something that is posed upon them.
- I worry about level of commitment with so large a geographic area and many diverse issues.